

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

10 ROBERT D. THORSON,

11 Petitioner,

12 v.

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

14 Respondent.
15
16

CASE NO. C18-136 RSM

ORDER FOR SERVICE AND
ANSWER, § 2255 MOTION

17 This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner's "Motion to Vacate, Set Aside,
18 or Correct Sentence Pursuant to § 2255." Dkt. # 1. After a preliminary review of the
19 motion, the Court ORDERS as follows:

20 (1) Within forty-five (45) days after the filing of Petitioner's § 2255 Motion, the
21 United States shall file and serve an Answer in accordance with Rule 5 of the
22 Rules Governing Section 2255 Cases in United States District Courts. As part of
23 its Answer, the United States shall state its position as to whether an evidentiary
24

1 hearing is necessary, whether any discovery is necessary, whether there is any
2 issue as to abuse or delay under Rule 9, and whether Petitioner's motion is barred
3 by the statute of limitations.

4 (2) On the face of its Answer, the United States shall note this matter for the fourth
5 Friday following the filing of its Answer, and the Clerk shall note the matter on
6 the Court's docket accordingly. Petitioner may file a Reply to the Answer no
7 later than that noting date.

8 (3) The Clerk shall direct a copy of this Order to Petitioner.
9

10 DATED this 31st day of January 2018.

11
12 

13 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
14 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24